


Evolution vs. Creation

Can you defend Creation?

“I believe in evolution” “Well I believe in creation”
“Your wrong the Bible is just stories” NOW WHAT?

Darwin

GOD!



Bible and Science

In antiquity and in what is called the Dark Ages, men did not know what they now know about humanity and the cosmos. They did not know the lock, but they possessed the key, God. Now they possess the lock in many ways of modern science but they have lost the key. The proper solution is union between religion (God and the Holy Bible) and science. The fact is, that as science discovers new things and advancements, these are God's thoughts an eternity ago, and what the Bible said thousands of years ago.

Let's start slow and work our way up.



First the Earth -

- People once believed that the earth sat on a large animal or a giant's hand.
- But at the same time (1500 B.C.) the Bible spoke of the earth's free float in space.
- He...(God)...hangs the earth upon nothing”
(Job 26:7)



Earth is Round -

- The Scriptures tell us that the earth is round: “It is he that sits upon the circle of the earth” (Isaiah 40:22)
- The word circle is the Hebrew word *chuwg*, which is translated “circuit” or “compass” depending on the context. That is, it indicates something spherical, rounded, or arched – not something that is flat.
- At the time of Christopher Columbus, 2,000 years later the world was still believed to be flat.




Oceanography -

- Psalms 8:8 written 2,800 years ago
- Paths of the sea - Phrase
- Matthew Maury noticed this and is considered the father of oceanography. He noticed this phrase and he decided he was going to find them. He discovered the warm and cold continental currents and his basic text is still used in Colleges.



Bible and Ship Dimensions

- In Genesis 6, God gave Noah the dimensions of the 1.5 million cubic foot ark he was to build.
- In 1609 at Hoorn in a Holland, a ship was built after the same pattern, revolutionizing shipbuilding.
- By 1900 every large ship on the high seas was inclined toward the proportions of the ark.
(verified by Lloyd's register of Shipping)



Genesis Chapter One Verses 23-27:

23 So the evening and the morning were the fifth day.

24 Then God said, "Let the earth bring forth the living creature according to its kind: cattle and creeping thing and beast of the earth, each according to its kind"; and it was so.


25 And God made the beast of the earth according to its kind, cattle according to its kind, and everything that creeps on the earth according to its kind. And God saw that it was good.

26 Then God said, "Let Us make man in Our image, according to Our likeness; let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, over the birds of the air, and over the cattle, over all ^b the earth and over every creeping thing that creeps on the earth."

27 So God created man in His own image; in the image of God He created him; male and female He created them.

GOD

As Creator, God knows more about our bodies, His creation, than we could ever discover either through philosophy or science...



Lets start with some basics!

- Bible is perfect – the greatest historical document ever- PERFECTION
- Time is made for man not for God - Aging ?
- God's Power – Our Peanut Brains – 4% Universe and National Debt Example
- Difference between patterns (FIBONACCISEQUENCE) and codes (DNA) – example of bouncing ball
- RAS system – Reticular Activating System – filter for incoming messages- relevant stuff moves on through WIIFM
- Amygdala (a –mig-da-la) emotional center it triggers rush of hormones controls the flight or fight mechanism. The reason is that when we are threatened physically or emotionally (religious subject creation) an amygdala hijack occurs and stops you are using your executive part of the brain.
- Why is this important – Anger – different part of the brain.
- Executive frontal cortex – Faith center – the word of God flips the switch
- Brain development – Backstop principle – Common Sense, Morals , Ethics Communication

The common sense factor

- Darwin is liked by evolutionists because he liberated science from the straitjacket of observation and opened the door to storytellers. This gave professional evolutionists job security so they can wander through biology labs as if they belong there.
- You can't win a scientific debate with a storyteller who thinks his imagination is equivalent to scientific evidence.

Terms for evolutionists – You have to know both sides to discuss

- * Definition of 'evolution': Change in the heritable traits of a population (such as a species) over time.
 - * Definition of 'fact': A repeatable observation. Note: 'facts' are not "proven" to be true .. they are *observed* to be true.
 - * Definition of 'theory': An explanation for facts. It is not the question of whether X occurs, but WHY X occurs

Terms Continued

Is evolution a theory? Yes. Whenever you use the word 'evolution' as a term for any proposed explanation for WHY evolution occurs, that is called a 'theory of evolution.' The main theory of evolution accepted by scientists today is Darwin's theory of natural selection.

What is natural selection? It is Charles Darwin's theory for WHY evolution occurs in nature. It is considered *by scientists* to be one of the most powerful and elegant theories in the history of science. It can be expressed in a single sentence: "In any population, those individuals born with traits that give them some advantage in survival and reproduction, will tend, on average, to leave more offspring that have those same traits." That's it. That's WHY evolution occurs to them.


Evolution

- Members of a population have a heritable variations
- In a population, more individuals are produced each generations that can survive or reproduce
- Some individuals have adaptive characteristics that enable them to survive and reproduce better than other individuals
- An increasing proportions of individuals in succeeding generations have the adaptive characteristics, due to the genetic inheritance of traits
- the result of natural selection is a population adapted to it
- NOVA Program on Dogs Example **



LETS START WITH THREE THINGS

START BIG AND GO SMALL



Big Bang Theory – Matter does not just beget Matter – The God Particle – It was created

- First try to think of an explosion that has ever produced order
- Does a terrorist bomb create harmony?
- Big Bangs or Explosions create and cause chaos
- How does a explosion create a rose, a hummingbird, apples, trees, fish, sunsets, seasons, polar bears, thousands of birds, plants and animals. Each with its own unique eyes, mouths, roots etc...
- The latest is that it requires 40 + parameters to sustain life on a planet
- Fire Cracker story!
- Universe is not slowing down it is expanding – We all know now the Big Bang Stinks -

The Brain by GOD! An undiscovered country

- Small lump of Tissue that weighs about three pounds
- One of the most watery organs of the body, its outer tissue is 85% water
- No relationship between brain size and intelligence
- It feels no pain, it does not have any pain receptors
- It floats in fluid inside the skull and the fluid derived from blood acts as a shock absorber
- The brain stops growing at about age 15
- The surface is covered with folds – Pretty Simple - Huh?




It's a little more complex

- If it were laid out flat it would cover about two student desks
- The brain has four times as many nerve cells as there are people on earth
- It has 10 Billion neurons, it can record 86 million bits of information each day of your life
- Protecting the neurons are 100 billion glia cells (Glia cells provide support for the brain, assist in nervous system repair and maintenance, assist in the development of the nervous system, and provide metabolic functions for neurons.)
- The nerves in the brain laid out end to end are 45 miles
- If the dendrites (Dendrites are short diffusely branched extensions. They are clustered close to the cell body), nerve connections and axons (the long threadlike part of a nerve cell) were laid out side by side it would encircle the earth many times over. The dendrites end to end 100,000 miles.
- New things, seizures, epilepsy, brain development – way we think m vs wm

The Eye – Just by Chance – Really?

- One part of an incredible complex creation
- The eye has thousands of co-equal functions (if one function does not work the eye will not work)
- How did the eye evolve if all the functions had to be present at once to give it any worth at all.

The eye; adjusts focus to different distances, for admitting different amounts of light, and for the correction of spherical and chromatic aberration (the material effect produced by the refraction different wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation through slightly different angles, resulting in a failure to focus. It causes colored fringes in the images produced by uncorrected lenses.)



- Your eye moves 100,000 times a day and has 1.37 million light sensitive cells.

THIS WAS BY NOT BY CHANCE

Darwin and Evolution – The Science Religion

- Darwin – Lawyer – Amateur Geologist
- Natural Selection acting on natural selection intelligence acting on random variations (mutations) entirely blind, impersonal, and undirected
- Darwin did very little experimental science. He did a few studies finches, barnacles and worms. Darwin's book *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection*, contains neither a mathematical equation nor any report of original experimental research.
- He basically formed a scientific theory with no evidence...
The craziest part is the Academic World and true scientists ran with it.
- Remember: Mutations never make any creation better, the changes in anything was already put into the DNA by GOD!

Here is how the imaginary part is supposed to happen: On rare occasions a mutation in DNA improves a creature's ability to survive, so it is more likely to reproduce (natural selection). That is evolution's only tool for making new creatures. It might even work if it took just one gene to make and control one part. But parts of living creatures are constructed of intricate components with connections that all need to be in place for the thing to work, controlled by many genes that have to act in the proper sequence. **Natural selection would not choose parts that did not have all their components existing, in place, connected, and regulated because the parts would not work.** Thus all the right mutations (and none of the destructive ones) must happen at the same time by pure chance. That is physically impossible. To illustrate just how hopeless it is, imagine this: on the ground are all the materials needed to build a house (nails, boards, shingles, windows, etc.). We tie a hammer to the wagging tail of a dog and let him wander about the work site for as long as you please, even millions of years. The swinging hammer on the dog is as likely to build a house as mutation-natural selection is to make a single new working part in an animal, let alone a new creature.

Here an Example with this Evolution!

- Let us take a bird for example
- Did the bird breath? Did it breath before the lungs developed?
- How did it do this?
- Why did the bird evolve lungs if were perfect happy without them and could survive without them?
- How did it know what to evolve if its brain had not evolved?
- Did the bird have a mouth? How did it eat before it evolved a mouth?
- Where did the mouth send the food before the stomach evolved?
- How did the bird have energy if it didn't eat if it didn't have a mouth?
- How did the bird see what there was to eat before its eyes evolved?
- **Evolution Is Intellectual Suicide. It Is An Embarrassment!!!!!!!!!!!!**

What is DNA

- DNA provides living organisms with guidelines—genetic information in chromosomal DNA—that help determine the nature of an organism's biology, how it will look and function, based on information passed down from former generations through reproduction. The slow, steady changes found in DNA over time, known as mutations, which can be destructive, neutral, or beneficial to an organism, are at the core of the theory of evolution.
- Genes are found in small segments of long DNA strands; humans have around 19,000 genes. The detailed instructions found in genes—determined by how nucleobases in DNA are ordered—are responsible for both the big and small differences between different living organisms and even among similar living organisms. The genetic information in DNA is what makes plants look like plants, dogs look like dogs, and humans look like humans; it is also what prevents different species from producing offspring (their DNA will not match up to form new, healthy life). Genetic DNA is what causes some people to have curly, black hair and others to have straight, blond hair, and what makes identical twins look so similar

What is RNA

- RNA has several different functions that, though all interconnected, vary slightly depending on the type. There are three main types of RNA:
- **Messenger RNA (mRNA)** transcribes genetic information from the DNA found in a cell's nucleus, and then carries this information to the cell's cytoplasm and ribosome.
- **Transfer RNA (tRNA)** is found in a cell's cytoplasm and is closely related to mRNA as its helper. tRNA literally transfers amino acids, the core components of proteins, to the mRNA in a ribosome.
- **Ribosomal RNA (rRNA)** is found in a cell's cytoplasm. In the ribosome, it takes mRNA and tRNA and translates the information they provide. From this information, it "learns" whether it should create, or synthesize, a polypeptide or protein.

Origin of Life research

Evolutionists don't like to talk about "origin of life" research because it has been such a dead-end, but if chemicals never assembled themselves into the first living thing, evolution could never get started. So to keep hope alive, every once in a while over the last 60 years they have announced discoveries that supposedly bring us closer to understanding how life on Earth began. However, the main lesson scientists have learned over those decades is that the long molecules (polymers) that allow biological creatures to work must be isolated in pure concentrations for there to be any chance of success. Unfortunately, that can only happen in biochemistry labs, computer simulations, and living cells. In all other settings, the products are unusable due to contamination, unwanted reactions with other chemicals, and minuscule concentrations that quickly fall apart

Origin of Life – Science can't agree

- **"Origin of Life" research, continued** The theory of evolution says life started from raw chemicals. Evolutionists long ago handed the problem off to specialists, trusting that they would come up with something. The specialists have spent many frustrating decades trying to figure out how DNA assembled itself. They have two approaches to the problem, and those on one side think the other side is wrong. Here is the essence of both views, synthesized from two research papers

How they keep trying!!!!

“We have failed in any continuous way to provide a recipe that gets from the simple molecules that we know were present on early Earth to RNA.”

“The first paradox is the tendency of organic matter to devolve and to give tar. If you can avoid that, you can start to try to assemble things that are not tarry, but then you encounter the water problem, which is related to the fact that every interesting bond that you want to make is unstable, thermodynamically, with respect to water. If you can solve that problem, you have the problem of entropy, that any of the building blocks are going to be present in a low concentration; therefore, to assemble a large number of those building blocks, you get a gene-like RNA -- 100 nucleotides long -- that fights entropy. And the fourth problem is that even if you can solve the entropy problem, you have a paradox that RNA enzymes, which are maybe catalytically active, are more likely to be active in the sense that destroys RNA rather than creates RNA.”

The two latest ways..

- “The conceivable paths toward life’s emergence have been dominated by two fundamentally different views in origin-of-life research: the genetics- or replication-first approach, and the metabolism-first scenario. Both schools acknowledge that the critical requirement for primitive evolvable systems (in the Darwinian sense) is to solve the problems of information storage and reliable information transmission. Disagreement starts, however, in the way information was first stored. All present life is based on digitally encoded information

Continued

- The mainstream prebiotic evolutionary scenario is the “RNA world”.
“Textbooks often assert that life began with specialized complex molecules, such as RNA, that are capable of making their own copies. This scenario has serious difficulties, but an alternative has remained elusive.”
“We do not know how the transition to digitally encoded information has happened in the originally inanimate world; that is, we do not know where the RNA world might have come from.
- An alternative appears to be necessary for the RNA-centric paradigm of the origin of life.”
“No known cellular constituent is capable of self-replication in pure form. Even DNA is absolutely dependent on other cellular components for making its own copies.”
“One is compelled to consider an alternative: that self-replication has never been a property of individual molecules, but rather one of molecular ensembles.”

Evolution in constant change

- “There are presently no detailed Darwinian accounts of the evolution of any biological or cellular system, only a variety of wishful speculations.” Evolutionists often say “it evolved”, but no one lists all the molecular steps because no one knows what they could be.
- **Evolution’s *Third Way*** Evolution theory says that accumulated small changes in creatures (microevolution) lead to new types of creatures (macroevolution). But some evolutionary biologists are admitting that microevolution does not happen by the supposed mechanism of evolution – mutation/natural selection. Instead, living things have built-in mechanisms that adjust to quick changes in their environment to produce variation. The mechanisms are only beginning to be understood, yet 61 evolutionist academics have put their names and faces on The Third Way website.

Two prominent “origin-of-life” researchers have laid out their vision of how life arose from chemicals:

1. **Start with a molecule capable of copying itself.** "The first protocells contained RNA (or something similar to it) and little else".
2. **A fatty acid bubble forms around the self-copying molecule,** which then makes a copy of itself with nucleotides that filter through the bubble. That leaves a double-strand RNA in the bubble. "Molecules as large as nucleotides can in fact easily slip across membranes as long as both nucleotides and membranes are simpler, more 'primitive' versions of their modern counterparts."
3. **The double-strand RNA separates into single strands** if it is heated just right. That might happen in an icy pond next to a volcano, where the bubble could circulate between the ice and the hot rocks. "The sudden heating would cause a double helix to separate into single strands

An so it continues

- 4. “At some point some of the **RNA sequences mutated, becoming ribozymes**”. The “ribozymes (folded RNA molecules analogous to protein-based enzymes) arise and take on such jobs as speeding up reproduction and strengthening the protocell’s membrane. Consequently, protocells begin to reproduce on their own.” “Other ribozymes catalyze metabolism — chains of chemical reactions that enable protocells to tap into nutrients from the environment.”
- 5. “**Next, the organisms might have added protein-making to their bag of chemical tricks.**” “Complex systems of RNA catalysts begin to translate strings of RNA letters (genes) into chains of amino acids (proteins).” “Proteins take on a wide range of tasks within the cell.”
- 6. “**Protein-based catalysts, or enzymes, gradually replace most ribozymes.**” “Proteins would have then taken over RNA’s role in assisting genetic copying and metabolism.”
- 7. “Later, **the organisms would have ‘learned’ to make DNA**”. “Thanks to its superior stability, DNA takes on the role of primary genetic molecule. RNA’s main role is now to act as a bridge between DNA and proteins.”
- 8. “**Organisms** resembling modern bacteria adapt to living virtually everywhere on earth and rule unopposed for billions of years, until some of them begin to **evolve** into more complex organisms.” —

Same Reality as creating life


Rocket Ship



Instructions

- 1. Get a large box. Draw controls and gauges on the inside. Cut out a door and round windows. Attach cardboard fins to the sides.
- 2. Put a chair in the box, sit down and start the countdown.
- 3. Launch the spaceship towards the Moon. Using the Moon's gravity, fling the spaceship to the outer reaches of the solar system, constantly accelerating with the impulse engines.
- 4. After passing Neptune, engage the warp drive in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic to avoid the Kuiper belt.
- The children are currently working on steps 1 and 2, and are as close to demonstrating their vision as the "origin-of-life" researchers are.

Problems – In creating Life



Challenges for Chemical Replication of RNA

- too slow!
- copying is not accurate
- incorrect backbone connections
- can't separate strands
- separated strands come back together
- lack of pure, concentrated nucleotides
- hydrolysis of activated nucleotides
- unknown source of chemical energy
- metal ions degrade RNA, destroy membranes

Here is the Evolution of the Lie? Suppressed!

- Darwin – We all evolved from primates. Did you know in recent studies dogs out performed chimpanzees in tests
- 1912- Darwin's Missing Link is discovered in Piltdown England. Experts instantly declared Piltdown Man to be 250,000 to a million years old. (Problem with that explained later). 1953 (whoops) carbon dating proved the skull of a 600 year old woman and the jaw of a 500 year old orangutan.

Here is the Evolution of the Lie? Suppressed!

- Nebraska Man derived from a tooth, which turned out to be an extinct pig
- Java Man, found in the early 20th century was a piece of skull, a thigh bone and three molar teeth. The rest was filled in by plaster of Paris, and later determined to be just a human skeleton
- Heidelberg Man came from a jawbone, but is reject because it is just like modern man
- Don't use Neanderthal Man to prove it. Later it was determined he died of exposure, stooped over because of disease. No missing link!
- Ever Changing Science, NEVER changing BIBLE!

Another example of the “Science of Hiding from the truth”

- Did dinosaurs soar?
- Imaginations certainly took flight over a birdlike fossil with a meat-eaters tail was sprinted out of NE China to Tucson
- The big link birds came from dinosaurs, a missing link
- National Geographic Society in DC displayed this missing link to thousands and thousands of visitors. They even used this premise in the movie Jurassic Park

Another example of the “Science of Hiding from the truth”

I am afraid the paleontologists are eating crow so to speak, it appears that the tail was likely tacked on by the farmer that discovered it and supposedly paid a lot of money for it

**THE REAL PROBLEM THEY CAN'T DEBATE IS GAPS IN THE FOSSIL GRAVEYARD
WHERE THERE SHOULD BE,**

**BUT THERE IS NOTHING. EVOLUTION AND THE FOSSIL RECORD IS IN COMPLETE
CONFLICT!!!**

The age of the universe continues to be a Evolution states the earth is billions of years old, yet a literal translation of the Bible implies it is only thousands of years.


- Carbon dating methods are flawed, so anything carbon-dated beyond a few hundred years isn't based on sound science - new snail test
- There are huge discrepancies in radiometric dating
- There is evidence that points to a Young Earth
- Scholars of Hebrew agree that the Bible describes Six Literal Days of creation
- Archaeology confirms and supports the truth of the Bible
- The Bible states that the universe displays the glory of God and the fine balance of the Earth was created as a home for mankind.

Evolution is Macro Evolution

- The funny thing is we are continually told that Evolution is fact and the Bible is a bunch of stories. That we and all the animals evolved out of some thing that came out of the prehistoric soup and everything evolved out of this.
- The real truth is that Evolution is a bunch of myths and the Bible is a bunch of FACTS!



Giraffes a recent study




Bottom Line

- Evolutionists assume evolution is true, then write endlessly about when and where it happened, rates and lineages, etc. But if **macroevolution is physically impossible** in the real world, and it is, then all the rest is fantasy. There are only two possibilities. Either every part of every living thing arose by random chance, or an intelligence designed them.

Mycoplasma cell – urinary tract

- One of the simplest cells in the body
- 482 proteins
- 562,000 bases of DNA
- Just under 1200 base pairs of G,A,C,T
- Take out of the 1200 base pairs and divide the helix
- Cut a piece of just 150 of the blocks out of the 1200
- What is the chances that the 150 blocks will work the way they are suppose to.



Chances for other side of 150 chain -

1 out of 100,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,
000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,
000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,
000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,
000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000.

Both Chains together

- TOO Big to calculate.
- 10 to the 198 times 10 to 198 x ?
- Put a broken clock in a box – shake it
- Put a bunch of parts in the garage and see if a Mercedes appears in 100 years, 1000 years, 1 million **years**.



Can't just happen, not without GOD!

A careful examination of the results of the analysis of several meteorites led the scientists who conducted the work to a different conclusion: inanimate nature has a bias toward the formation of molecules made of fewer rather than greater numbers of carbon atoms, and thus show no partiality in favor of creating the building blocks of our kind of life. RNA's building blocks, nucleotides, are complex substances as organic molecules go." "Amino acids, such as those produced or found in these experiments, are far less complex than nucleotides". "No nucleotides of any kind have been reported as products of spark discharge experiments or in studies of meteorites.

How do they lie to themselves?

- "To rescue the RNA-first concept from this otherwise lethal defect, its advocates have created a discipline called prebiotic synthesis. They have attempted to show that RNA and its components can be prepared in their laboratories in a sequence of carefully controlled reactions." Finding "a specific organic chemical in any quantity... would justify its classification as 'prebiotic,' a substance that supposedly had been proved to be present on the early Earth. Once awarded this distinction, the chemical could then be used in pure form, in any quantity, in another prebiotic reaction. The products of such a reaction would also be considered 'prebiotic' and employed in the next step in the sequence."
"Unfortunately, neither chemists nor laboratories were present on the early Earth to produce RNA." "The analogy that comes to mind is that of a golfer, who having played a golf ball through an 18-hole course, then assumed that the ball could also play itself around the course in his absence. He had demonstrated the possibility of the event; it was only necessary to presume that some combination of natural forces (earthquakes, winds, tornadoes and floods, for example) could produce the same result, given enough time."

Zombie Science

"Although the classical ideal is that scientific theories are evaluated by a careful teasing-out of their internal logic and external implications, and checking whether these deductions and predictions are in-line-with old and new observations; the fact that so many vague, dumb or incoherent scientific theories are apparently believed by so many scientists for so many years is suggestive that this ideal does not necessarily reflect real world practice. In the real world it looks more like most scientists are quite willing to pursue wrong ideas for so long as they are rewarded with a better chance of achieving more grants, publications and status."

Zombie Science Cont.

To say "that the theory is phoney, and always was phoney, and this is why it so singularly fails to predict reality is regarded as simplistic, crass, merely a sign of lack of sophistication. And anyway, there are... the reputations of numerous scientists who are now successful and powerful on the back of the phoney theory, and who by now control the peer review process (including allocation of grants, publications and jobs) so there is a powerful disincentive against upsetting the apple cart."

Zombie Science Cont.

"Zombie science is science that is dead but will not lie down." "Zombie science is supported because it is useful *propaganda*. Zombie science is deployed in arenas such as political rhetoric, public administration, management, public relations, marketing and the mass media generally. It persuades, it constructs taboos, it buttresses some kind of rhetorical attempt to shape mass opinion. Indeed, zombie science often comes across in the mass media as being *more plausible* than real science

Evolution and its insurmountable problem

- They claim man existed over a million years ago
- Lets be conservative and say new generation every 43 years that would produce 23,256 consecutive generations by starting with one couple one million years ago. Lets put in 2.5 kids per family would produce trillions X trillions X trillions X trillions of people on the earth today. This number would be greater than the total number of atoms on earth. If it were true we would be standing on a mountains of bones of the trillions of skeletons
- Researchers know we all genetically related to one set, the Y shaped chromosome dubbed Adam – Buried by Science


What did we learn today – Sum it up!

- The random evolution of life is mathematically impossible
- Scientific laws point scientists to a Creator
- There is an absence of Transitional Fossils
- The fossil record does not show a clear evolution of mankind
- Our increased understanding of Genetics indicates design
- Emergence of a completely new kind of animal is not observed

Today

- In spite of overwhelming evidence that the theory of evolution is dead wrong, many are not ready to throw in the towel. They desperately hope that some natural process will be found that causes things to fall together into organized complexity. They are so afraid of connecting God with science that, like the Japanese Army of World War II, they would rather die than surrender. Unfortunately, the staunchest defenders sit in places of esteem and authority as professors, scientists, and editors, and have the full faith of the news media. The public is naturally in awe of their prestige. But once the facts are understood it becomes obvious that the theory of evolution is long overdue for the trash can, and to perpetuate it is fraud. Perhaps it made sense for what was known when *On the Origin of Species* was published in 1859, but not today

From a Rocket Scientist

- “My experiences with science led me to God. To be forced to believe only one conclusion -- that everything in the universe happened by chance -- would violate the very objectivity of science itself. Certainly there are those who argue that the universe evolved out of a random process, but what random process could produce the brain of a man or the system of the human eye? Some people say that science has been unable to prove the existence of a Designer... They challenge science to prove the existence of God. But, must we really light a candle to see the sun?” --Wernher von Braun, 1912 – 1977
-  “Wernher von Braun is, without doubt, the greatest rocket scientist in history. His crowning achievement, as head of NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center, was to lead the development of the Saturn V booster rocket that helped land the first men on the Moon in July 1969.” --From NASA's webpage:
<http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/vonBraun/>

Read Job with a focus on Science

- Job 28: 25 that there is a 'weight for the wind'. Air has weight 16th Century discovery
- Job 38: 12,14 It (earth) is turned as clay to the seal, pottery wheel
- Job 38:16 'the springs of the ocean' thermal vents recent discovery by high tech, deep sea diving cameras
- Job 28:24 By what way is light diffused, 1650 – seven colors
- Job 38:19 "Where *is* the way *to* the dwelling of light? Speed of light of electromagnetic radiation at 186,000 miles per second
- Job 40: 15-24 – Evolutions say it is the hippo not the dinosaurs

Look now at the behemoth,^[a] which I made *along* with you;

¹ He moves his tail like a cedar; *Hippo tail is like a pencil*

Only He who made him can bring near His sword. *God can make and take it away*



At some point you need to make a decision

At some point you need to defend your position on Creation

At some point you need to decide do you really believe in your spiritual heart and mind in GOD, Christ and the Bible, before the cry at midnight!